Casse 3::09-cv-02492-JSW Document 76 Filed 05/07//10 Page 11 of f5

1 2 3	CROWELL & MORING LLP Ethan P. Schulman (eschulman@crowell.com) Nathaniel P. Bualat (nbualat@crowell.com) 275 Battery Street, 23rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415.986.2800		
4	Facsimile: 415.986.2827		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff CAPITAL TRUST, INC.		
6	CM IIAL IROSI, IIVC.		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CAPITAL TRUST, INC.,	Case No. C 09-02492 JSW	
12	Plaintiff,	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT; REQUEST TO VACATE TRIAL DATE AND ORDER THEREON	
13	v.		
14	WALTER R. LEMBI, individually and as	Date:	May 14, 2010
15	trustee of the WALTER AND LINDA LEMBI FAMILY TRUST DATED JUEN	Time: Dept.:	1:30 p.m. Courtroom 11, 19th Floor
16	30, 2004; FRANK E. LEMBI, individually and as trustee of the OLGA LEMBI	Judge:	The Hon. Jeffrey S. White
17	RESIDUAL TRUST CREATED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF PART THREE OF		
18	THE LEMBI FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST DATED FEBRUARY 17, 1984,		
19	and as the trustee of the FRANK E. LEMBI SURVIVOR'S TRUST DATED		
20	FEBRUARY 17, 1984; BILLIE SALEVOURIS, individually, BILLIE Z.		
21	SALEVOURIS, as trustee for THE BILLIE SALEVOURIS TRUST DATED		
22	AUGUST 23, 1983 AS RESTATED ON MAY 24, 2002; DAVID M. RAYNAL,		
23	individually and as trustee for the DAVID M. RAYNAL REVOCABLE TRUST		
24	DATED MAY 9, 2002; RALPH DAYAN, individually and as co-trustee for the		
25	AMENDEĎ AND RESTATED DAYAN FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST DATED		
26	DECEMBER 31, 1991,		
27	Defendants.		
28			
	1		

CROWELL & MORING LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1

2

45

7

8

6

9

1112

10

13

14

15

16 17

18

1920

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

I. JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

Pursuant to Local Rule 16-10(d) and the Court's Civil Standing Order No. 5, Plaintiff Capital Trust, Inc. ("Capital Trust"), Defendants Walter R. Lembi, individually and as trustee of the Walter and Linda Lembi Family Trust dated June 30, 2004, Frank E. Lembi, individually and as trustee of the Olga Lembi Residual Trust created under the provisions of Part Three of the Lembi Family Revocable Trust dated February 17, 1984, and as the trustee of the Frank E. Lembi Survivor's Trust dated February 17, 1984, Billie Salevouris, individually, Billie Z. Salevouris, as trustee for the The Billie Salevouris Trust dated August 23, 1983 as restated on May 24, 2002, David M. Raynal, individually and as trustee for the David M. Raynal Revocable Trust dated May 9, 2002, Ralph Dayan, individually and as co-trustee for the Amended and Restated Dayan Family Revocable Trust dated December 31, 1991 (collectively, "Defendants"), and Plaintiffs-in-Intervention LBUBS 2004-C8 VAN NESS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, LBUBS 2004-C8 BAY CLAY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, LBUBS 2004-C8 JONES STREET LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, LBUBS 2004-C8 LOMBARD STREET LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, LBUBS 2004-C8 GOUGH STREET LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, LBUBS 2004-C8 BARTLETT STREET LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, LBUBS 2004-C8 MASON STREET LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, LBUBS 2004-C8 HYDE STREET LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, and LBUBS 2004-C8 JOICE STREET LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ("Plaintiffs-in Intervention") (collectively with Capital Trust and Defendants, the "Parties") submit this Joint Case Management Statement.

A. Brief Summary of Case

In its Amended Complaint, Capital Trust seeks damages for Defendants' breach of various guaranty contracts. Capital Trust alleges that Defendants, through various Guaranties of

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

¹At a August 21, 2009 hearing, Capital Trust stipulated to Plaintiffs-in-Intervention's limited intervention into this case for the sole purpose of opposing Capital Trust's Motion for Right to Attach Order and Order for Issuance of Writ of Attachment against Defendants ("Attachment Motion"). Pursuant to the Court's oral ruling at that hearing, all of Capital Trust's arguments and objections with respect to Plaintiffs-in-Interventions' intervention beyond opposing the Attachment Motion were expressly reserved. For the sake of efficiency, however, Plaintiffs-in-Intervention have been included in this joint submission.

Casse 3::09-cv-02492-JSW Document 76 Filed 05/07//10 Page 33:of 155

Payments ("Mezzanine Guaranties"), are the guarantors of various Mezzanine Loan Agreements
("Mezzanine Loan Agreements"), which have been assigned to Capital Trust. Capital Trust
further alleges that the borrowers on the Mezzanine Loan Agreements ("Mezzanine Borrowers")
defaulted on their payment obligations to Capital Trust, and have not made any required payment
since March 11, 2009. On or about June 2, 2009, Capital Trust served a Notice of Event of
Default and Acceleration and made a demand on Defendants for payment pursuant to the
Mezzanine Guaranties. Defendants deny, among other things, that Mezzanine Borrowers
defaulted under the Mezzanine Loan Agreements and that they are obligated to make any
payments to Capital Trust pursuant to the Mezzanine Guaranties.

In their Complaint in Intervention, Plaintiffs-in-Intervention seek a declaratory judgment and injunctive relief. Plaintiffs-in-Intervention allege that they are parties to a series of loans ("Senior Loans") between them and several entities ("Senior Borrowers") that are related to both the Mezzanine Borrowers and Defendants. In connection with the Senior Loans, Defendants executed various guaranties with respect to the Senior Borrowers' obligations pursuant to the Senior Loans ("Senior Guaranties"). Plaintiffs-in-Intervention further allege that they and Capital Trust are parties to a written contract whereby Capital Trust's rights with respect to the Mezzanine Loans and Guaranties are subordinated to Plaintiffs-in-Intervention's rights with respect to the Senior Loans and Guaranties ("Intercreditor Agreement"). According to Plaintiffs-in-Intervention, Capital Trust has taken positions in this case that are contrary to the terms of the Intercreditor Agreement and as a consequence a live controversy exists regarding the terms of that agreement. Capital Trust denies, among other things, that it has taken positions that are contrary to the terms of the Intercreditor Agreement and that there is a live controversy regarding the terms of that agreement.

B. Status

On September 16, 2009, this Court issued an Order granting Capital Trust's Application for Right to Attach Order and Writ of Attachment. At the end of that Order, the Court strongly urged the parties to continue to meet and confer in order to reach a mutually agreeable resolution of this dispute. In urging the Parties to settle this matter, the Court noted that there likely is a

Case 3:09-cv-02492-JSW Document 76 Filed 05/07/10 Page 440f 55

limited pool of assets available for such a settlement.

Since the Court's issuance of its September 16, 2009 Order, although Capital Trust has identified certain non-exempt assets that are subject to attachment under that Order, it has not attached such assets because it is attempting to reach a business resolution of this matter. As reported in the parties' March 25, 2010 Joint Case Management Statement, the parties have engaged in workout negotiations that have not yet resulted in a settlement of this action. Currently, Capital Trust is considering a potential sale of its interest in the Mezzanine Loans to a third party, and is engaged in negotiations with potential third party purchasers. Capital Trust believes that in the event it is successful in selling its interest in the Mezzanine Loans, a sale is likely to close within a period of 60 to 90 days. Such a sale may render a trial and any further proceedings in this action unnecessary, and may lead to the dismissal of the action.

C. Appropriateness of ADR (See Local Rule 16-10(d))

The Parties' current view is that no form of ADR is appropriate at this time.

II. REQUEST TO VACATE TRIAL DATE

Because Capital Trust's potential sale of the Mezzanine Loans may resolve this action and avoid the need for the Court and the Parties unnecessarily to expend limited resources preparing for trial, the Parties respectfully request that the Court: (1) vacate the May 14, 2010 case management conference, the June 7, 2010 pretrial conference, the June 28, 2010 trial date and all deadlines related to the current trial date; and (2) set a further case management conference at least 90 days from the date of this request to address the status of any settlement or business discussions and the need, if any, to set a new trial date.

This Joint Case Management Statement and Request to Vacate Trial Date is filed electronically by Capital Trust's counsel who hereby attests, in accordance with General Order 45

Section X(B), that Defendants and Plaintiffs-in-Intervention concur in its filing.

Case3::09-cv-02492-JSW **Document 76** Filed 05/07/10 Page 550 155 1 Dated: May 7, 2010 CROWELL & MORING LLP 2 3 /s/ Ethan P. Schulman Ethan P. Schulman Attorneys for Capital Trust, Inc. 4 5 Dated: May 7, 2010 FRIEDMAN DUMAS & SPRINGWATER LLP 6 7 /s/ Ellen A. Friedman Ellen A. Friedman 8 Attorneys for David Raynal, Billie Z. Salevouris, and 9 Ralph Dayan 10 LEMBI GROUP LEGAL DEPARTMENT Dated: May 7, 2010 11 12 /s/ Edward C. Singer, Jr. Edward C. Singer, Jr. 13 Attorneys for Defendants Walter R. Lembi and 14 Frank E. Lembi 15 Dated: May 7, 2010 DUANE MORRIS LLP 16 17 /s/ Phillip K. Wang Phillip K. Wang 18 Attorneys for Plaintiffs-in-Intervention 19 20 21 119046\0002001\902069439.1 22 The parties' request to vacate the May 14, 2010 case management conference and the pretrial and trial dates is GRANTED. This matter shall be set down for a further conference on 23 Friday, August 13, 2010. If this matter has not been dismissed by that date, the parties shall 24 submit a Joint Status Conference Statement with proposed dates for a pretrial conference and trial. 25 26 IT IS SO ORDERED this 7th day of May, 2010. fuy & White 27

CROWELL & MORING LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

28

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT; REQUEST TO VACATE TRIAL DATE; CASE NO. C 09-02492 JSW